EXHIBIT M

NEW YORK LOS ANGELES

Direct Dial: (212) 894-6750 Direct Fax: (212) 370-4453 mturner@condonlaw.com

August 7, 2007

VIA FACSIMILE (248) 351-3082

Scott R. Torpey, Esq.
Jaffe, Raitt, Heuer & Weiss
27777 Franklin Road, Suite 2500
Southfield, MI 48034-8214

Re:

ANA/UAL Incident at SFO

October 7, 2003

C & F Ref: MST/05901

Dear Scott:

Further to my letter of July 25, 2007, in which I informed you that I was attempting to ascertain the availability of the ANA flight crew for depositions, I have the following information:

- The flight schedules for September have already been set and there is no time or location that would be convenient or practical to obtain the depositions of all three crew members;
- 2. We could schedule all three crew members for depositions on successive days in Tokyo in late October. It looks as though the October 22-31 time frame is likely to be available; and
- Alternatively, with additional time, we could probably schedule the crew to be available in California (Los Angeles or San Francisco) on successive days in November.

Please advise of your preference and, if you prefer California in November, please suggest dates during two different weeks, keeping the Thanksgiving Day holiday in mind.

As to discovery of United Airlines, please refer to my letter of July 24, 2007 in which I requested that you inform me of the availability of United's witnesses for deposition. Have you made any progress in this regard?

Scott R. Torpey, Esq. August 7, 2007 Page 2

I am looking forward to your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Marshall S Turner

MST/hlj

cc: Jeff Worthe

Steve Fus Walt Kopas

Scott Cunningham



NEW YORK LOS ANGELES

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Date: August 7, 2007	No. of Pages including fax cover sheet: 3	
From: Marshall S. Turner	Facsimile Operator: HLJ	
Facsimile No.: (212) 370-4453		

	RECIPIENT	COMPANY	FAX NO.	PHONE NO.
-	Scott Torpey, Esq.	Jaffe, Raitt, Heuer & Weiss	248-351-3082	248-351-3000
1.	1	Worthe, Hanson & Worthe	714-285-9700	714-285-9600
2.	Jeffrey A. Worthe, Esq.	Condon & Forsyth, LLP	310-557-1299	310-557-2030
3.	Scott D. Cunningham, Esq.	Condon & Poisyui, DEI		•
4.	Walter Kopas	United States Aviation Underwriters, Inc.	212-349-8226	212-859-3814
5.	Steve Fus	UAL World Headquarters Law Division	847-700-4683	847-700-6284

Re:

ANA/UAL Incident at SFO

October 7, 2003

C & F Ref: MST/05901

CONFIDENTIALITY: This facsimile and/or accompanying documents are intended for the personal and confidential use of the above recipients. This message may be an attorney-client communication and, as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader is not the intended recipient or his/her agent, you have received this document in error, and any review dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If received in error, please call the scriber to arrange for the return of the documents.

> IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES PLEASE CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (212) 490-9100

Confirmation Report - Memory Send

Page : 001

Date & Time: Aug-07-07 12:06pm

Line 1 : 12123704453

Line 2 : +

Machine ID : CONDON & FORSYTH LLP

Job number

: 218

Date

: Aug-07 12:05pm

To

: **\$17069124835130821170691714285970017069131**

05571299#706912123498226#706918477004683

Number of pages

003

Start time

: Aug-07 12:05pm

End time

: Aug-07 12:06pm

Pages sent

003

Status

: OK

Job number

: 218

*** SEND SUCCESSFUL ***

CONDON & FORSYTH LLP

PARTY TARRA

FACSIMULE TRANSMISSION

Date: August 7, 2007	No. of Pages including fax cover sheet: 3
From: Marshall S. Turner	Facsimile Operator: HLJ
Facsimile No.: (212) 370-4453	

	RECIPIENT	COMPANY	PAX NO.	PHONE NO.
 -	Scott Torpey, Esq.	Jaffe, Raitt, Heuer & Weiss	248-351-3082	248-351-3000
2	Jeffrey A. Worthe, Esq.	Worths, Hanson & Worths	714-285-9700	714-285-9600
13	Soon D. Cunningham,	Condon & Forsyth, LLP	310-357-1299	310-557-2030
1 -	Bsq.		<u> </u>	
4.	Walter Kopes	United States Aviation Underwriters, Inc.	212-349-8226	212-859-3814
5.	Steve Fue	UAL World Headquarters Law Division	847-700-4683	847-700-6284

Rei

ANA/UAL Insident at SFO October 7, 2003 C& F Reft MST/05901

CONFIDENTIALITY: This localimite and/or accompanying documents are intended for the personal and confidential use of the shows recipients. This message may be an anomaly-client communication and, as such, is privileged and confidential. If the reader is not the intended recipient or his/ner agent, but have received this document in error, and any review discerningland, distribution or copying is

IF YOU DO NOT RECKIVE ALL THE PACES PLEASE CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (212) 490-9100

NEW YORK

Direct Dial: (212) 894-6750 Direct Fax: (212) 370-4453 mturner@condonlaw.com

August 30, 2007

VIA FACSIMILE (248) 351-3082

Scott R. Torpey, Esq. Jaffe, Raitt, Heuer & Weiss 27777 Franklin Road, Suite 2500 Southfield, MI 48034-8214

Re:

All Nippon Airways Company, Ltd. v. United Air Lines, Inc.

United States District Court for the Northern District of California

Case No. C 07 3422 EDL C & F Ref.: MST/05901

Dear Scott:

I am writing in response to your email of August 28, 2007. I will address the issues raised in your email as follows:

1. Depositions of ANA crew -

As explained to you in my emails of August 21 and August 28, ANA expects to confirm the depositions of at least two of the three ANA crew for depositions in San Francisco or Los Angeles in the timeframe you requested, November 27 through November 29. They may be able to confirm the depositions of all three crewmembers for that period, but it is possible that due to flight and work schedules, only two could be scheduled into the same city during that three day period.

I do not understand the point of the first four sentences of your email. Are you suggesting that, if ANA cannot schedule all three crewmembers into the same U.S. city on the three days you requested, that you would not want to take any of the depositions? Alternatively, would you prefer to take the depositions of all three crewmembers in Japan, where they all work and reside, and it would be easier to schedule all three crewmembers on successive days in the same location?

2. Ground Handling Agreement (GHA) -

As it is clear on the face of the GHA referred to in and attached to United's Counter-Complaint that the GHA could not possibly have anything to do with any issue in this case, I have no intention of bringing any ANA witness to the U.S. on this subject until United establishes some basis for this affirmative defense.

Scott R. Torpey, Esq. August 30, 2007 Page 2

Moreover, as the GHA appears to be United's affirmative defense, I would appreciate a response to my inquiry as to "what do you expect to inquire about at a deposition that could be answered through Interrogatories or Admissions." See my email of August 21, 2007. In any event, if United is serious about asserting the GHA as an affirmative defense, ANA would be entitled to ascertain the nature and basis of this claim before providing a corporate witness to testify on the subject. Please describe the nature and basis of this defense and how the referenced document could possibly apply to any fact at issue in this case.

3. United Depositions -

As requested several times, please advise when and where it would be most convenient for United to produce the witnesses identified in my letter of July 24, 2007. In your email, you seem to suggest that you will not obtain dates for depositions of United's fact witnesses until after the depositions of all ANA witnesses are concluded. I am sure you know there is no reason or basis for such a position and I certainly do not intend to wait until January 2008 to conduct depositions of United witnesses. While I might agree to defer the depositions of United's flight crew until after the depositions of ANA's flight crew, please provide dates for the depositions of United's tug driver, Julio Hernandez and United's ramp G controller, Edward Loh, at their earliest availability.

4. United's Document Request -

I am always available to meet and confer, but I do not understand what there might be to discuss about our objections to United's document request. FRCP Rule 26(d) is quite clear that "a party may not seek discovery from any source before the parties have conferred as required by Rule 26(f)." Therefore, I see nothing to confer about concerning United's document request. However, I do think we should confer regarding Judge Laporte's Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines, as well as Rule 26(f). As required by FRCP 26(f), we should discuss:

- a. The nature and basis of United's GHA affirmative defense;
- b. Possibilities of a prompt settlement or resolution of the case;
- c. Make or arrange for Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures;
- d. Develop a discovery plan and address the issues in FRCP Rule 26(f)(1)-(6); and

Scott R. Torpey, Esq. August 30, 2007 Page 3

> Compliance with Judge Laporte's deadlines of September 11, 2007 and e. September 25, 2007 as set forth in her Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines. Of particular importance, would be a serious effort to agree upon a mediator or selection of another ADR process.

Please let me know your availability for a meet and confer on these issues and I will check the availability of my California co-counsel.

Sincerely yours,

Marshall S Turner

MST/hlj

cc: Jeff Worthe Walt Kopas

Frank Silane

Scott Cunningham